A PIBA GUIDE TO PENSION LOSS CALCULATION

written for

the Personal Injuries Bar Association

by

JAMES ROWLEY Q.C.

MATTHEW WHITE, BARRISTER

with contributions from
RICHARD WHITEHALL, BARRISTER
JASON WELLS, BARRISTER
KANE SIMONS, BARRISTER
HANNAH SAXENA, BARRISTER

© James Rowley QC and Matthew White

The moral rights of the authors have been asserted.

First published in 2020

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission in writing of the authors, or as expressly permitted by law, by licence or under terms agreed with the appropriate reprographics rights organization.

You must not circulate this work in any other form and you must impose this same condition on any acquirer.

While the authors and contributors have taken every care in preparing the material included in this work, any statements made as to legal or other implications of particular transactions are made in good faith purely for general guidance and cannot be regarded as a substitute for professional advice. Consequently, no liability can be accepted for loss or expense incurred as a result of relying in particular circumstances on statements made in this work.

Links to third party websites are provided in good faith and for information only. The authors disclaim any responsibility for the material contained in any third party website referenced in this work.

Crown copyright material is reproduced under the Open Government Licence 3.0:

http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3/

Further copies of this book can be purchased from www.piba.org.uk

ISBN: 9789403601359

Foreword by Lord Justice Irwin, President of PIBA

The Personal Injuries Bar Association is 25 years old this year, and Facts and Figures is only slightly younger at 24 years, with the first edition having been published in 1996. Both are thriving.

Over that quarter century, there have been enormous changes in the approach to personal injury cases. Practitioners have moved away from a pretty simplistic and sometimes downright crude system of conventions to an approach which is markedly more cerebral, exact and developed. Over the same period, life expectancy has continued to extend (at least until very recently), financial products and pension law have developed, and old-fashioned final-salary pension schemes have become as rare as hen's teeth, even amongst those in long-term stable employment. We have also left behind the traditional band of interest rates, where a Bank Rate of around 5-6% was regarded as low to normal, and entered an era of extremely low interest rates, which looks set to persist for some time yet. According to the life expectancy calculator on the website of the Office of National Statistics, the average life expectancy of a man aged 40 today is to live to be 85, with a 1 in 4 chance he will live to be 94, and a chance of nearly 6% he will live to be 100. At the same time, the statutory discount rate is -0.25%.

The combination of these factors can produce striking results. As this Guide points out (see $\S6.1-\S6.2$), before *Wells v Wells*, the pension multiplier for a man aged 40, who but for his accident would have retired at 60, was 3.9, before reduction by the application of a 'judicial discount'. It would now be 27.58. No wonder pension claims have become so much more important and prominent.

James Rowley penned his first guide to this field in 1995 and this is the successor work. James is now being more than ably assisted by his co-author and contributors. They are to be congratulated, as is the Association for sponsoring and publishing the Guide. Practitioners will find the Guide practical and informative, with plentiful examples allowing the reader to structure calculations of increasing complexity. Practitioners are also provided with template tables, available to be downloaded from the PIBA website.

This publication calls for compliments, but I am not being merely complimentary in suggesting it will become indispensable.

Stephen Irwin

Glossary

1	Introduction	1
2	Wider Principles	3
2.1	Historical perspective	3
2.2	Conventional approach in practice following Wells	3
2.3	Conventional approach – binding on pensions	6
3	The nature of claims for loss of pension	7
3.1	Parry v Cleaver	7
4	Issues of deductibility of additional pension	10
4.1	The deductibility of additional pension income	10
4.2	The deductibility of <i>commuted</i> lump sum payments	10
4.3	The deductibility of <i>automatic</i> lump sum payments	11
5	An introduction to subsidiary propositions	13
6	A changed world	15
6.1	Life and death at the time of Auty	15
6.2	Calculation in 2020	16
6.3	The crisis in pensions and the knock-on effects	16
6.4	Shift in the nature of pension	17
7	Personal pensions	18
7.1	Personal pensions in practice	18
7.2	Personal pensions compared with Parry	19
8	Workplace pensions	21

8.1	Workplace and personal money purchase schemes – legally indistinguishable	22
8.2	Auto enrolment schemes are money purchase schemes	23
8.3	Money purchase pensions obtained in mitigation of earnings	23
9	Defined benefit schemes in the modern world	26
9.1	CARE pensions	27
10	The effect of early retirement illustrated	32
10.1	The Teachers CARE Pension Scheme	32
10.2	Proof of motivation to continue to work	37
10.3	Relevance of the Ogden 8 factor Tables A-D to pension claims	38
11	Evidence on which to base the calculations	41
11.1	Introduction to the materials covering specific occupations	41
11.2	Getting individual evidence for a claimant	42
12	Calculations of future losses generally	45
12.1	The main aim in presentation	45
12.2	Split life multipliers	45
12.3	Development of adjustment to reach a fully balanced result	49
12.4	Formal demise of the <i>Auty</i> discount	50
13	General tips in calculation	51
13.1	Netting down the pension loss – simplified	51
13.2	Survivor's pension loss	52
13.3	Commutation	53

14	Loss of State Pension	55
14.1	The new State Pension in slightly more detail	56
14.2	State Pension age	56
14.3	Obtaining a State Pension forecast	56
14.4	Reasonable damages to meet the correctly analysed loss	57
15	Detailed calculation of a modern claim	60
15.1	Single balanced assumption approach	60
15.2	Assumption for an assessed chance of promotion	61
15.3	Assumption for a lump sum variation	62
15.4	Actuarial adjustment for early retirement	63
15.5	Pick up survivor's pension now	64
15.6	Commutation	64
15.7	Collection of segments for lost CARE pension	65
15.8	Obtaining the valuation of post-accident entitlements	66
15.9	Check if there is a loss under earlier final salary schemes	68
15.10	Overall collection	69
16	Templates for pension loss calculation	71
17	Claims early and/or in a speculative career	75
17.1	Teaching statistics	75
17.2	Proof of motivation – yet again – but also ability	75
17.3	Assess the real potential	76
17.4	Careers in the Armed Forces	76

17.5	Loss of earnings and pension must mesh properly	81
17.6	Back to the general advice	82
18	Pension and the lost years	83
18.1	Loss of expectation of life – loss of defined benefit pension years	83
18.2	The money purchase slip in JR	84
19	Pension dependency under fatal claims	85
20	Auto enrolment pension schemes	86
21	Money purchase schemes and loss of earnings	89
22	Conclusion	90
23	Individual Schemes	91
23.1	Armed Forces	93
23.2	Civil Service	104
23.3	Fire Service	111
23.4	Judges	117
23.5	Local Government employees	121
23.6	NHS employees	126
23.7	Police	132
23.8	Teachers	137
23.9	University Lecturers	143
23.10	CARE schemes at a glance	150
24	Re-worked calculations from Ogden 8, para. 123	151

TABLE OF CASES

Arnup v M.W. White Ltd [2008] I.C.R. 1064
Auty v National Coal Board [1985] 1 W.L.R. 784
Blamire v South Cumbria Area Health Authority [1993] P.I.Q.R. Q162
British Transport Commission v. Gourley [1956] A.C. 185
Brown v MoD [2006] P.I.Q.R. Q9
Croke & another v Wiseman & another [1982] 1 W.L.R. 71
Dews v NCB [1988] A.C. 1
Lim Poh Choo v. Camden and Islington Area Health Authority [1980] A.C. 174 3
Longden v British Coal [1998] A.C. 653
Mallett v. McMonagle [1970] A.C. 166 H.L.(N.I.)
McCloud and others v Lord Chancellor and and another [2020] 1 All E.R. 304 26,
41, 93, 105, 111, 118, 122, 127, 132, 138
Parry v Cleaver [1970] A.C. 1 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 29, 55,
56, 91
R (or JR) v Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust [2017] 1 W.L.R.
484784
Roberts v Johnstone [1989] Q.B. 878
Sargeant and others v London Fire and Emergency Planning Authority and others
[2020] 1 All E.R. 304
Smoker v London Fire and Civil Defence Authority & Wood v British Coal
Corporation [1991] 2 A.C. 502
Wells v. Wells [1999] 1 A.C. 345
Wood v Bentall Simpley Ltd [1992] P.L.O.R. P332

Glossary

Accrual Build-up of pension entitlement with the passage of

pensionable service

Actuarial reduction Factor by which a pension is reduced if the pension is

drawn before Normal Pension Age [NPA]

Annual pension Annual sum paid in retirement, for life, taxable as

income in the year received, covering both scheme

member's and dependant's pensions

CARE scheme Career Average Revalued Earnings pension scheme, a

modern defined benefit scheme and described more

fully in §9.1 of the text

Commutation Giving up part of a life-long, annual defined benefit

pension for an immediate, tax-free lump sum

Defined benefit Workplace pension in which entitlement to annual

income in retirement for life (the benefit) is defined by a formula based on length of service and pensionable earnings, formerly often by reference to

final salary and now to career average revalued earnings

Defined contribution *Money purchase* pension fund in which entitlement depends on the investment return from contributions

made by employer and employee (in a workplace scheme) or by a worker alone (in a personal pension)

described more fully in §\$7-8 of the text

Dependant's pension Pension paid on the earlier death of the scheme

member to surviving children (up to a certain age) and/or a nominated or automatic surviving beneficiary (to the survivor for life) – previously

known as widow's pension

Final salary scheme A workplace defined benefit pension scheme, where

pensionable pay is defined by reference to the level of

pay at or near the date of retirement

Lifetime allowance Total of tax privileged pension funds a member can

accrue during life before a tax charge applies

Lump sum Tax-free payment of cash, typically to a maximum of

the lower of (a) 25% of the whole pension fund value on retirement or (b) 25% of the remaining lifetime allowance. Formerly, often generated automatically;

now, almost always by *commutation* – see above.

Money purchase Covering both personal and workplace pensions, in

which entitlement depends on the investment of contributions described more fully in \$\$7-8 of the text – a more descriptive way of saying *defined*

contribution

NEST National Employment Savings Trust, an auto

enrolment Master Trust Corporation, which operates currently the largest workplace money purchase

scheme described more fully in §20 of the text

NPA Normal Pension Age, a matter of definition in any

scheme but now commonly 65 or State Pension age

[SPA], whichever is the later

Pension Loose term covering both defined benefit and money

purchase payments, see above

Pensionable earnings That part of earnings used for calculating [1]

entitlement to benefit in a *defined benefit* scheme or [2] entitlement to employer contributions in a

workplace money purchase scheme

Personal pension Pension scheme arranged by an individual (invariably

a money purchase scheme)

State Pension Universal, basic State-operated defined benefit

scheme, funded by National Insurance contributions from employers and employees, and state subsidy and

described more fully in \$14

SPA State Pension age

Survivor's pension See dependant's pension above

Workplace pension Pension scheme arranged by an employer

1 Introduction

It is now over a decade since the 3rd edition of PIBA's Personal Injury Handbook¹, which contained a chapter with practical advice on the principles behind and the calculation of pension loss for litigation of personal injury claims in England and Wales. While the law has not changed in any relevant way since 2007, pensions themselves, life expectancy and discount rates certainly have. As the discount rate has fallen and life expectancy has lengthened, loss of pension has assumed far more significance. Moreover, instead of having to attempt calculations based on limited information and not really knowing what difference, for instance, an earlier date of retirement might make, we now have internet access to comprehensive materials in relevant occupations. Employee pension scheme portals provide all the evidence one could wish for in an individual case. With so much more at stake and vastly improved raw materials, it is high time for fresh assistance.

While some experts still try to pretend otherwise, pension loss is a head of future pecuniary loss like any other and not an esoteric subject outside the routine assessment of damages. All the usual principles apply.

The aim, as with all pecuniary compensation in personal injury cases, is to award *reasonable compensation* bearing in mind the contingencies, favourable and adverse, to reach a monetary result that is fair. Depending on the shape of the case, there may often be different ways of formulating the assumptions from which the main calculations proceed and then fine tuning them, if there are chances not already taken into account, to reach a fair result. The same applies to the calculation of pension loss.

There is no detailed index to this work but the table of contents above shows, through the section headings, the route along which we will proceed. There will be a largely bullet point introduction of the legal principles, with references to the leading authorities and relevant passages in footnotes. We will examine the nature of modern pensions and how the interlinked assumptions for career and pension can be drawn to make the calculation of loss of earnings and pension cohesive, easier and more accurate. Templates will be provided. Short advice will be given in a number of related areas. Finally, the main provisions of the major pension schemes will be mapped out and the internet sources identified.

1

Jordans Publishing Limited 2007 – the "PIBA Handbook"

Aside from the description of the various common occupational pension schemes in §23, this work is not primarily intended to be a reference book but to be read from cover to cover after which, with familiarity and the necessary overview in place, it might be used as a reference resource. Its main thrust is to inculcate a more refined approach to the evaluation of loss of earnings, which will empower our approach to pension calculation.

We record our thanks to Vitek Frenkel for commenting on our calculations and areas involving taxation.² Tax calculations use the prevailing rates as at 1 July 2020.

-

Frenkels Forensics, Chartered Accountants; https://frenkels.com. Any slips that remain are, of course, our own.

2 Wider Principles

2.1 Historical perspective

- ❖ The much but unfairly criticised, early leading case of Auty v National Coal Board^B embodied no abstruse method but only the then – for the 1980s and making use of the limited evidence available – conventional approach.
- ❖ As the methodology of the conventional approach shifted following *Wells v. Wells*⁴ in the late 1990s so did the assessment of pension loss, away from the old ways in *Auty*, into line with a modern application of the conventional approach.

2.2 Conventional approach in practice following Wells

The modern conventional approach:

- still assesses damages for personal injuries net of tax.⁵
- still takes no account of future inflation (other than through the fixing of the correct discount rate), setting the multiplicand in the value of money at date of trial and ignoring the particular avenues of investment open to an individual claimant.⁶
- ❖ presently adopts a rate of discount, set under the Civil Liability Act 2018, at -0.25%.
- no longer makes pre-Wells arbitrary judicial discounts, instead using the Ogden Tables as the starting point rather than a check, being slow to depart from the relevant actuarial multiplier on impressionistic grounds or previously decided multipliers.⁷

³ [1985] 1 W.L.R. 784, C.A. – "Auty"

⁴ [1999] 1 A.C. 345, H.L. – "Wells"

⁵ British Transport Commission v. Gourley [1956] A.C. 185, H.L.

Mallett v. McMonagle [1970] A.C. 166 H.L.(N.I.) 175B-176D; Lim Poh Choo v. Camden and Islington Area Health Authority [1980] A.C. 174 H.L. 193B-194B; upheld on this point in Wells supra – per Lord Lloyd 334A, Lord Steyn 353C and Lord Clyde 361E

Wells supra – per Lord Lloyd 347D-E